Restore Justice Illinois: General Assembly Candidate Survey

Restore Justice Illinois is a nonpartisan organization devoted to finding fair and practical solutions to the problems of serious crime and violence while minimizing the negative consequences of over-incarceration.

Legislators have a shared responsibility to review and improve our criminal legal system. This survey is our effort to open dialogue with stakeholders, citizens, and candidates holding a variety of positions. We believe candid conversation is the best way to start on the path to consensus. There isn't a "right" answer to these questions; we appreciate and respect the views of all stakeholders and will publish them all on our website.

Responses can be emailed to info@RestoreJusticeIllinois.org, mailed to Restore Justice Illinois at PO Box 6160, Evanston, IL 60204, or submitted electronically at RestoreJusticeIllinois.org/survey, where responses will be published. Questions: Please call Dan Johnson at 312.933.4890.

Questions

1. These are several areas of criminal sentencing law that help fuel long-term incarceration. Please share your thoughts on each one of these.

Mandatory gun enhancements: Judges must add 15 years to the sentence of someone who possesses but does not use a firearm in the commission of a crime. In 2015, a new law made these enhancements discretionary for juveniles, but not adults. HB4376 (Ford) would make those mandatory gun enhancements discretionary for all offenders.

(Do you support, oppose, or are you neutral on the bill? Thoughts welcome.)

MYSELS AS A CO-SPONSOR.

Felony-murder rule: Illinois has a broad felony-murder statute that allows prosecution of individuals for murder in cases where they did not commit or plan to commit a murder, but committed another forcible felony that resulted in someone's death. HB1615 (Slaughter) and SB2292 (Peters) would narrow the scope of Illinois's felony-murder law.

(Do you support, oppose, or are you neutral on the bill? Thoughts welcome.)

CO-sponsor since September 2019.

Accountability: Illinois law utilizes a theory of accountability throughout its criminal statutes. This theory allows individuals to be convicted of the same, more serious crime as their co-defendant/s in an underlying felony, even if they did not directly perpetrate or plan to perpetrate the more serious crime. If convicted, people who are accountable serve the same sentence as the primary actor in the offense.

(Do you think we should abolish accountability theory, narrow the usage of accountability theory, or keep accountability as is?)

INDIVIDUAL FOR THEIR OWN ACTIONS.

Earned sentencing credits: A 1998 law called *Truth in Sentencing* reduced or removed the opportunity for incarcerated individuals to earn "time off for good behavior." The law has led to much longer actual "time served" in Illinois prisons and has removed incentives for rehabilitation.

(Do you think we should restore earned sentencing credits to pre-1998 levels, restore some earned sentencing credits, or keep sentencing credits the same? General thoughts welcome.)

WE SHOULD RESTORE EXPURD SENTENCING CREDITS TO PRE-PAS LEVELS. I'M WILLIAM TO SPONSOR THIS LEGISLATION & DESIRED.

Mid-sentence parole review: Illinois has true "parole for release" for only a tiny fraction of the prison population (those of any age convicted before the abolition of parole for release in 1978, and those under age 21 convicted after June 2019, pursuant to Public Act 100-1182, the Youthful Offender Parole Law). The remainder of incarcerated people in Illinois do not have access to a parole review hearing at any point in their term of incarceration. What most call parole in Illinois is a post-incarceration period of Mandatory Supervised Release, which is added to a sentence and is not a path to be released earlier than the original sentence.

(Should we restore parole as a system for early release broadly, or should we continue with no general parole where the incarcerated serve the entire sentence received no matter what circumstances may have changed?)

WE SHOULD PLETORE PAROLE - A ZISTCHUNRE PAROLE
WHOLE REMARKINITATION/REMORATION IS TRULY
THE FOCAL POINT.

Abolishing life without parole sentences for all juveniles. Unlike the majority of states, current law in Illinois still allows for a juvenile to receive a life sentence without the possibility of parole, despite young people having unique ability to change. A 2019 law implemented mid-sentence parole review for most individuals convicted of serious offenses before they turned 21. HB5670 (Mayfield) would expand on that law to prohibit life without parole sentences for *anyone* under the age of 21 when their crime was committed. Juveniles could still serve life in prison if the Prisoner Review Board rejects their application for early release.

(Do you support, oppose, or are you neutral on this bill? Thoughts welcome.)

I SUPPORT AMS LEGISLAMON, AS STATED, FRE STILL LAS THE FINAL SAY.

2. **Proactive commutations by the Governor.** Our current state prison population of 36,000 remains stubbornly high, largely because Illinois abolished mid-sentence parole review in 1978; people who otherwise might have been released from prison due to rehabilitation no longer have that option. The State Constitution gives the Governor authority to commute any sentence and release any person. Today, commutation is a **reactive** process. An incarcerated individual must submit a petition for review to the Governor, who tasks the Prisoner Review Board with creating a private recommendation, which the Governor may or may not act upon. Given the lack of mid-sentence parole review since 1978, do you think Governor Pritzker should make the reactive commutation system more **proactive** to systematically consider commutations? Potential groups to consider for proactive commutation include the elderly (over 65), those who have had excellent records while in prison, or those who have demonstrated remorse and have been rehabilitated but still face decades of incarceration.

(Do you think Governor Pritzker should proactively review incarcerated individuals serving long sentences and assign them to the Prisoner Review Board to determine whether their sentences ought to be commuted given their unique circumstances? Do you think he should not do that? Thoughts welcome.)

PAROLE FOR DELGASE PROSPAM. LET'S THE PROJECT A ZIST COUTURED PROJECT FOR DELGASE PROSPAM. LET'S THICH ABOUT PROJECTIVE COMMUNITATIONS DETERMINED. PRINCESS. PRINCESS.

3. Centralizing IDOC procedures. Illinois has faced many serious class-action lawsuits over various aspects of prison conditions, and has been found in court-ordered reviews to have failed to meet some basic standards of care of people in custody. Illinois has a decentralized administrative system for the Illinois Department of Corrections where wardens of each of the 28 prisons have significant authority and autonomy. Do you think Illinois should have a more centralized system of administrative procedures?

(Do you think IDOC should centralize procedures and promulgate rules as other states do or continue with a decentralized administrative system where wardens have significant authority and autonomy?)

PROMULEATE BULER AS OTHER STATES DO.

4. General views and personal anecdotes. When you think about our criminal legal system in Illinois (from policing to prosecution to sentencing to incarceration to re-entry), what do you see as the biggest opportunities for improvements in the next two years? Have you had experience witnessing the criminal legal system firsthand, via your own personal experience, or through that of a friend, family member, or constituent that you'd like to share? Please consider this an open-ended question to share any important anecdotes that inform your perspective.

PESTORTIVE LISTICE. DISTORED PROSECUTION PROGRAME WILL KEET PEOPLE OUT OF WILL AND ASSIST IN HENRY MON VIOLENT OFFENDERS TO RESTORE THEMSELVER, MANUE AMOUNDS AND YAKE STEFS IN BECOMING LAND

ARDUNG CITIZEUS. WOULD YOU SUPPORT THIS?

5. Voting rights while incarcerated. Should people who are incarcerated in prison be allowed to vote? Those in county is its (before they are contained) are they are contained.

to vote? Those in county jails (before they are sentenced) are allowed to vote. Vermont and Maine allow those serving a sentence in state prison to vote.

YES. IT WILL CAUSE SEFTONIE CRIMINAL WITHCE DESTRUCTION TO BE ON THE MINDS OF BOTH PARTIES.